

Sławomir Steinborn

Judicial review in criminal proceedings in Poland



www.en.ug.edu.pl

25.05.2021



Authority competent for applying the measure

Type of measure	Pre-trial	Trial
Bodily samples	Prosecutor, Policeno appeal	Court • no appeal
Search of premises / Search of computers	Prosecutor, Police (in urgent cases, ex post authorisation of the PP)appeal to district court	Court • appeal
Seizure	Prosecutor, Policeappeal to district courtCourt or PP (in case of professional secrecy)	Court • appeal



Authority competent for applying the investigative measure

Type of measure	Pre-trial	Trial
Interception of telecommunications	 Court of first instance (ex ante authorisation) appeal Prosecutor (in urgent cases, max 5 days, ex post authorisation of the court) 	Court • appeal
Traffic and location data	Prosecutorappeal to district court	Court • appeal
Banking information	 Prosecutor (inf. reg. suspect – bank client) no appeal Regional court (inf. reg. other) appeal (?) 	Court • appeal
Data from social media	Prosecutorappeal to district court	Court • appeal



Judicial review in Poland

Correlation between the independence of the authority competent to issue/validate an EIO (pre-trial)

- Police + Prosecutor bodily samples
- Prosecutor search of premises / computers, seizure, traffic and location data, data from social media
- Prosecutor under prior authorisation of regional court banking information regarding others than suspect-client
- Prosecutor under prior authorisation of first instance court interrogation of witness regarding professional secrecy, seizure of documents incl. secrecy
- Court competent in first instance interception of telecommunications